

Algemeen Pensioenfonds KLM

2024 Q1 - Quarterly Proxy Voting Report

24 April 2024

CONTENT



- Introduction
- Proxy Voting Snapshot
- ESG Features
- Voting Highlights




























- Algemeen Pensioenfonds KLM has developed and implemented policies and procedures to ensure that its fiduciary obligation to vote proxies in the best interest of its participants is fulfilled.
- We actively exercise our rights as an owner of shares to promote responsible and sustainable practices in investee companies.
- We report on our Proxy Voting activities on a quarterly basis in keeping our commitments to our participants as well as the market reporting expectations.
- We have developed a Proxy Voting Policy, setting out our expectations for good corporate governance in the companies in which we invest. The policy has been developed based on global best practice guidelines such as the <u>ICGN Global Corporate Governance Principles</u> of Corporate Governance, the <u>G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance</u>, the <u>UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights</u> and the <u>UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)</u>.
- All data comes from Minerva Analytics, our Proxy Voting service provider.

Vote Summary by Region






Event Type

| Region | AGM | Class | Court | EGM | GM | OGM | SGM | SSM | Total |
|----------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Africa | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Asia & Oceania : Developed | 88 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| Asia & Oceania : Emerging | 14 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 64 |
| Europe : Developed | 45 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 54 |
| Europe : Emerging | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Japan | 36 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| North America | 73 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 85 |
| South & Central America | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| UK & Ireland | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 282 | 2 | 1 | 59 | 5 | 28 | 16 | 0 | 393 |

Vote Summary by Resolution Category



| | Votes | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------|---------|----------|-------|--|
| Resolution Category | For | Against | Abstain | Withheld | Total | |
| Audit & Reporting | 198 | 256 | 16 | 2 | 472 | |
| Board | 1829 | 373 | 65 | 48 | 2315 | |
| Capital | 242 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 279 | |
| Charitable Activity | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | |
| Corporate Actions | 105 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 116 | |
| Other | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 13 | |
| Political Activity | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | |
| Remuneration | 179 | 272 | 13 | 0 | 464 | |
| Shareholder Rights | 343 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 353 | |
| Sustainability | 25 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 35 | |
| Total | 2941 | 982 | 95 | 50 | 4068 | |

Votes

During the first quarter of 2024, we voted on 393 events and 4,068 resolutions.

Shareholder Proposal Vote Summary

Shareholder proposals are resolutions put forward by shareholders who want the board of a company to implement certain measures, for example around environmental, social and governance (ESG) or sustainability practices.


We value the right of shareholders to submit proposals to company general meetings. We will vote in favour of shareholder proposals that promote good corporate citizenship while enhancing long-term shareholder value, sustainability, and good governance.

We will vote against shareholder proposals that are misaligned with these principles and proposals that, in our assessment, are considered duplicative of existing company disclosure, practice and policy; are too prescriptive or seek to micromanage companies; and where the board has provided a commitment to address the issue raised by the proponent.

| | Votes | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------|---------|----------|-------|--|
| Resolution Category | For | Against | Abstain | Withheld | Total | |
| Audit & Reporting | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| Board | 19 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 22 | |
| Capital | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |
| Charitable Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Corporate Actions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Other | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | |
| Political Activity | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | |
| Remuneration | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |
| Shareholder Rights | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | |
| Sustainability | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 21 | |
| Total | 52 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 72 | |


During the first quarter of 2024, we voted on 72 shareholder-proposed resolutions.

Management Recommendation Followed



| Votes in line with Management Recommendation | 2,915 |
|--|-------|
| Votes NOT in line with Management Recommendation | 1,153 |

Summary Votes by Proposer



We use the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to identify Environmental, Social and Governance risks and opportunities. We have identified the below five SDGs themes as priorities.



13 CLIMATE ACTION


SDG 7 - Affordable and Clean Energy

SDG 8 - Decent Work and Economic Growth

SDG 9 - Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure

SDG 12 - Responsible Consumption and Production

SDG 13 - Climate Action




As part of the Data collection and Research process, Minerva identifies those companies that have referenced the SDGs in their disclosures. In the first quarter of 2024, 77.97% of the companies that held events that we voted on have a material reference to the SDGs.



- We expect companies to have a strategy for reducing carbon emission, to be clear about targets set and to report on the progress achieved.
- Generally, we support proposals that enhance disclosure and provide shareholders with a better view of the company's practices. To this end, we support the adoption of globally recognised reporting frameworks such as <u>Task force on Climate</u>
 related Financial Disclosures ("TCFD").

TCFD Referenced



Minerva has identified that approximately 79.66% of the Companies we voted on between 1 Jan 2024 and 31 Mar 2024 have made specific reference to the TCFD framework and alignment with the disclosure pillars.



| <u>Introduction</u> | Proxy Voting Snapshot | ESG Features | Voting Highlights |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | | | |



| Company | Sector | Incorporated | Event: AGM | Resolution | Proposed by |
|-----------|----------------|--------------|-------------|--|--------------|
| Metro Inc | Food Producers | Canada | 30 Jan 2024 | 5 - To request for in-person Annual General Meetings with complimentary virtual meetings | Shareholders |

Metro Inc's principal activity is as a retailer and distributor of food and an operator of supermarkets, discount stores and drugstores.

At Metro's 2024 AGM shareholders put forward a resolution asking that the Company's AGMs be held in person, and that virtual meetings be added as a complement to, but not a substitute for, in-person meetings.

Historically, institutional investors have been opposed to the use of virtual meetings and view the AGM as an important forum at which the Board is publicly accountable. However, since 2020 the format of shareholder meetings began to change, with companies opting to hold meetings virtually due to health restrictions relating to the COVID-19 pandemic. Whilst investors backed the switch during the pandemic, there are concerns over whether this temporary pandemic-related measure will become the new normal.

In particular, there are concerns that virtual meetings can impact the possibility for shareholders to engage with and ask questions to boards and management in comparison to physical meetings and lead to lower quality interactions between shareholders and directors.

We believe that AGMs and shareholder-called meetings should allow for the physical presence of participants, including provision for voting electronically by proxy, and ensure live interaction is possible with the board and management.

Accordingly, hybrid formats (allowing both physical and virtual participation) which provide a meaningful opportunity for shareholders to participate in the meeting and interact with the board and management should be encouraged.

We supported the proposal as we consider hybrid meetings to be best practice. The proposal received majority backing from shareholders, with 53.79% of the shareholder ballot cast in favour.

| <u>Introduction</u> | Proxy Voting Snapshot | ESG Features | Voting Highlights |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|



| | Company | Sector | Incorporated | Event: AGM | Resolution | Proposed by |
|---|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------|---|--------------|
| | Analog Devices Inc | Technology Hardware & Equipment | United States of America | 13 Mar 2024 | 4 -To request that the Board introduce the simple majority vote standard | Shareholders |
| s | Agilent Technologies Inc | Pharmaceuticals, Biotechnology & Marijuana Producers | United States of America | 14 Mar 2024 | 4 - To request that the Board introduce the simple majority vote standard | Shareholders |

Analog Devices Inc is engaged in the design, manufacture, and test of integrated circuits, software and subsystems.

Agilent Technologies Inc is engaged in providing application-focused solutions that include instruments, software, services and consumables for the entire laboratory workflow.

At both Analog Devices and Agilent Technologies' 2024 AGMs shareholders filed a proposal requesting the boards of the respective companies to take each step necessary so that each voting requirement in company charter and bylaws that calls for a greater than simple majority vote be replaced by a requirement for a majority of the votes cast for and against applicable proposals, or a simple majority in compliance with applicable rules.

A supermajority voting standard requires a large majority of shareholders to approve a resolution, e.g. 66% votes in favour to pass a resolution. In contrast, a simple majority voting standard requires a vote of more than 50% to approve a resolution. Because of the higher threshold requirement, supermajority voting provisions make it harder for

shareholders to approve a resolution and can be used as an entrenching mechanism by management.

The Council of Institutional Investors Corporate Governance Principles state that a majority vote of common shares outstanding should be sufficient to amend company bylaws or take other action that requires or receives a shareowner vote.

Whilst the Analog Devices' board recommended shareholders to vote against the proposal, the Agilent Technologies' board stated it was interested in understanding the viewpoints of shareholders and therefore made no recommendation on the proposal.

We voted in favour of the proposals as we consider the use of a simple majority vote to be good practice and that enactment would enhance shareholder rights and governance practices. Both proposals were successful, with the Analog Devices proposal receiving 89.31% support and the Agilent Technologies proposal receiving 94.47% support.

| Introduction Proxy Voting Snapshot | ESG Features | Voting Highlights |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|



| Company | Sector | Incorporated | Event: AGM | Resolution | Proposed by |
|---------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|---|--------------|
| DSV AS | Industrial Transportation | Denmark | 14 Mar 2024 | 10 – To request reporting on human rights and labour rights | Shareholders |

The principal activities of DSV AS is the provision of transport and logistics services.

At DSV's 2024 AGM shareholders filed a resolution proposing that the Board of Directors shall continue to develop their reporting on DSV's efforts to respect human rights and labour rights as well as its due diligence processes in accordance with the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), and in alignment with the forthcoming Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD).

The proposal asked that the disclosed information be updated and published at least once a year at reasonable cost, omitting proprietary information, and shall be made public before the 2025 AGM.

In response to the proposal, the DSV Board stated that the Company has a strong commitment within respect for human rights and within labour rights and has a solid engagement in due diligence processes related thereto. With effect from the financial year 2023, the Company had already significantly expanded its sustainability reporting in these areas. The Company intends to continue with these efforts and reporting in the coming years and welcomed shareholders' support and engagement within this area and recommended shareholders to support the proposal.

We voted in favour of the shareholder proposal as we considered enactment would enhance transparency and disclosure on the Company's approach to human rights and labour rights risk management and align reporting with international standards.

The shareholder proposal was successful meaning the Company will start to provide additional reporting on its human rights and labour rights risk management and due diligence processes ahead of the 2025 AGM.

Glossary

Meeting Types

- AGM Annual General Meeting: meeting normally required by law taking place on an annual basis.
- Class Class Meeting: shareholders holding a class of share are required to make a decision binding on the company.
- Court Court meeting: where shareholders can order an annual meeting or a special meeting from a court or where a meeting is called by a Court of Law to approve a Scheme of Arrangement
- EGM Extraordinary General Meeting: meeting is required to conduct business of an urgent or extra-ordinary nature. Such business may require a special quorum or approval level.
- GM General Meeting: term often used interchangeably with the term EGM,OGM, SGM, depending on the term used by the company in question.
- OGM Ordinary General Meeting: term often used interchangeably with the term EGM,GM, SGM, depending on the term used by the company in question.
- SGM Special General Meeting: term often used interchangeably with the term EGM,GM, OGM, depending on the term used
- SSM Scheme Meeting: term often used interchangeably with the term Court, depending on the market in question.

Vote Types

- Abstain Shareholder's vote not in favour or against the proposed resolution, but shareholder demonstrates lack of confidence towards the rationale behind the resolution.
- Against Shareholder's vote against the resolution proposed.
- For Shareholder's vote in favour of the resolution proposed.
- Withhold For North America auditor and director election resolutions, shareholder vote not in favour of the resolution proposed.

DISCLAIMER

About Minerva

Minerva helps investors and other stakeholders to overcome data disclosure complexity with robust, objective research and voting policy tools. Users can quickly and easily identify departures from good practice based on their own individual preferences, local market requirements or apply a universal good practice standard across all markets.

For more information please email hello@minerva.info or call + 44 (0)1376 503500

Copyright

This analysis has been compiled from sources which are believed to be reliable. No warranty or representation of any kind, whether express or implied, is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the report or its sources and neither Minerva Analytics nor its officers, directors, employees, or agents accept any liability of any kind in relation to the same. All opinions, estimates, and interpretations included in this report constitute our judgement as of the publication date, information contained with this report is subject to change without notice.

This report may not be copied or disclosed in whole or in part by any person without the express written authority of Minerva Analytics. Any unauthorised infringement of this copyright will be resisted. This report does not constitute investment advice or a solicitation to buy or sell securities, and investors should not rely on it for investment information.

Conflicts of Interest

Minerva Analytics does not provide consulting services to issuers, however issuers and advisors to issuers (remuneration consultants, lawyers, brokers etc.) may subscribe to Minerva Analytics research and data services.



Contact details

- Thomas Bolger
- Senior Stewardship Analyst
- Thomas.bolger@minerva.info
- Tel: +44 (0) 1376 504505

- Hugh Braddock
- Senior Executive
- hugh.braddock@minerva.info
- Tel: +44 (0) 1376 504507

- Claire Lunn
- Account Executive
- claire.lunn@minerva.info
- Tel: +44 (0) 1376 504505

